

# Swimming depth of sea trout

## **Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Vol 7 No 13**

Jóhannes Sturlaugsson



© Crown copyright 2016

## Swimming depth of sea trout

## Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Report Vol 7 No 13

#### Jóhannes Sturlaugsson

Published by Marine Scotland Science

ISSN: 2043-7722

Marine Scotland is the directorate of the Scottish Government responsible for the integrated management of Scotland's seas. Marine Scotland Science (formerly Fisheries Research Services) provides expert scientific and technical advice on marine and fisheries issues. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science is a series of reports that publishes results of research and monitoring carried out by Marine Scotland Science. It also publishes the results of marine and freshwater scientific work carried out for Marine Scotland under external commission. These reports are not subject to formal external peer-review.

This report presents the results of scientific work carried out for Marine Scotland under external commission by Jóhannes Sturlaugsson, Laxfiskar, Iceland.

Citation: Sturlaugsson, J., 2016. The Swimming depth of sea trout. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Report Vol 7 No. 13.

Marine Scotland Science Marine Laboratory 375 Victoria Road Aberdeen AB11 9DB

Copies of this report are available from the Marine Scotland website at www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland

#### Swimming depth of sea trout

#### Jóhannes Sturlaugsson

Laxfiskar, Hradastadir 1, 271 Mosfellsbaer, Iceland

#### Summary

Data on swimming depth of sea trout *Salmo trutta* (L.) in Icelandic waters was extracted and collated into a suitable format for use in marine renewables risk assessment. The data shows that the fish are close to the surface much of the time, with some time being spent at greater depths.

#### 1. Introduction

The Scottish Government has a target for 100% of the Scottish demand for electricity to be met from renewable resources by 2020 by creating a balanced portfolio of both onshore and offshore technologies. To ensure that the developments are ecologically sustainable, there is a need for data on relevant ecological matters, including the behaviour of fish in the vicinity of proposed offshore developments. The recent Annex IV 2016 State of the Science Report: Environmental Effects of Marine Renewable Energy Development Around the World review (available at http://tethys.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/attachments/SoS-Report-Public\_Review-*Draft\_highres.pdf*) points out that "the possibility of marine animals colliding with dynamic components of MRE (marine renewable energy) devices is the greatest challenge to siting and permitting". While this concern is most strongly expressed in relation to marine mammals, similar risks apply to marine fish, for example diadromous species. These risks to fish species are partly dependent on their depth distribution at sea. Fish which predominantly occupy space close to the surface will be at less risk from bottom-mounted tidal turbines than fish that have a benthopelagic lifestyle.

The sea trout *Salmo trutta* (L.) is one of the fish species which occurs in areas with renewable energy potential, and it is of high conservation, commercial and recreational fishing importance. However, information on swimming depths of sea trout is scarce and not in a suitable format for direct incorporation into collision models for tidal turbines (see, for example Band [2015]), which require depth frequency information for different swimming depth bands. The need for information led to the author of this report being contracted by Marine Scotland to work on data

he had collected in various studies on sea trout during their sea migration in Icelandic waters. The work consisted of compiling and analysing the raw data on swimming depth into a format suitable for use in risk assessments. The data presented give detailed insight into the observed main patterns in vertical distribution of sea trout.

#### 2. Sampling methodology and data characteristics

#### 2.1. Monitoring of migration behaviour and environment with data storage tags

The data used in this report are derived from work carried out by the author on sea trout in Icelandic waters in the period 1996-2008. The sampling of the electronic data storage tags followed a pre-programmed schedule. The tags logged information on the fish depth and ambient temperature in the sea and in the rivers before and after the sea migration. Some tags were equipped with salinity (conductivity) sensors enabling recording of this parameter. In addition, a few tags had sensors which measured the pitch and roll of the fish, although these data are not presented in this report. Data storage tags have to be retrieved in order to access the stored data. As the recaptures were mainly based on angling, only some of the tags were retrieved.

#### 2.2. The sea trout and the study area

The sea trout studied were from two rivers in south east Iceland. These rivers, the Rivers Tungulaekur and Grenlaekur are well known for their strong sea trout stocks and are located in a part of Iceland where sea trout is the most abundant salmonid (Figs. 1 and 2). The rivers are mainly springfed and each of the rivers discharges at a mean rate of about 2  $m^3 s^{-1}$  during the summer. The River Tungulaekur runs into large glacial river (River Skafta) which has a mean discharge of about 120 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and the River Grenlaekur has a joint estuary with River Skafta. The section of Icelandic coast which is involved is unsheltered and there are extensive areas of sand which extend from coastal beaches well out to sea. Hydrographical data from the Marine Research Institute, Reykjavik, Iceland (http://www.hafro.is) shows that there is a thermocline in the area at approximately 20 m depth during summer 2 - 27 km from shore. The coastal area is influenced significantly for hundreds of kilometres along the coast by the massive inflow of fresh water from glacial rivers in the summer. It is therefore possible that in some areas the sea trout can experience low salinity close to shore without entering an estuary. There is some information on the prey of sea trout in this area. Sandeels are the predominant prey although herring is also important when available. The sea trout also eat smaller prey such as amphipods and polychaetes, but they are much less important quantitatively to the diet.



**Fig. 1.** Map of the coast of south Iceland, showing the area that the DST tagged sea trout are likely to have migrated through. The yellow circle shows the area where the two home rivers of the monitored sea trout are located (Tungulaekur and Grenlaekur). Also marked is the estuary Veidios where River Grenlaekur runs into sea as well as River Skafta, the glacial river which River Tungulaekur runs into. Red arrows indicate the feeding area of the sea trout closest to the estuary. The yellow line shows the coastal area that foraging sea trout from River Grenlaekur and River Tungulaekur utilise, from known outer limits of their distribution during sea migration (maximum of approximately 160 km east and west of Veidios) (Sturlaugsson, pers. observations).



**Fig. 2.** Map of the coast of south Iceland, showing water depth intervals by bottom depth isolines (100m, 200m etc.). The waters are relatively shallow along the coast with water of depth 20 m or less extending 1-2 km out from shore. The area where the Rivers Tungulaekur and Grenlaekur are located is shown.

#### 2.3. Data sampling

The sea trout were tagged in the rivers, and included both immature and mature fish. Most were captured by rod fishing and tagged and released the same day. The great majority of fish were tagged in the spring prior to their annual sea migration, although a few were tagged at their spawning grounds in the autumn. Most of the DSTs were attached externally using a modified Carlin method although, in a few instances, the DSTs were implanted into the peritoneal cavity.

The manufacturer's declared accuracy of depth measurements for the DSTs used in the studies was +/- 0.4% of selected depth range, which was 50 m. The accuracy of the temperature measurements was +/-  $0.1^{\circ}$ C and the accuracy of salinity measurements +/- 1 psu.

The sampling rate differed between and within years, depending on the tag memory, tag type and the aim of the particular study. The densest (highest frequency) measurements were collected over shorter periods within the summer, when recording intervals of up to 5-10 seconds were used to obtain more detailed information on the vertical distribution and on the actual swimming between depth layers. Table 1 lists the number of fish from which data were obtained for each of the eight years and the number of recordings for corresponding measuring intervals. Table 2 gives the periods in each of these years when subsampling was carried out.

**Table 1.** Measurement intervals of DSTs used in given year are shown for 1996-2008. For the intervals involved in each year the number of fish that carried the tags during their sea migration and the number of recordings sampled from the sea migrations are given.

	Measurement Interval												
	Number of Fish			30			10	20	30		2	Records -	
Year	and Recordings	5 sec	10 sec	sec	1 min	5 min	min	min	min	1 hour	hours	Grand Total	
1996	N-Fish							14		14			
1550	N-records							8,060		18,228		26,288	
1997	N-Fish						10				10		
	N-records						2,890				6,878	9,768	
1998	N-Fish								4		5		
	N-records								4,670		3,123	7,793	
1000	N-Fish								7				
1999	N-records								19,676			19,676	
	N-Fish	1	1			1							
2004	N-records	34 562	17 282			19 262						71 106	
	N 1000100	01,002	17,202			10,202						11,100	
	N_Fieb			2						2			
2006	N-rooordo			5 760						2 0 2 5		0 607	
	IN-IECOIUS			5,702						2,925		0,007	
	N Tich	4									0		
2007	IN-FISH										2	00.404	
	N-records	28,027									1,107	29,134	
2008	N-Fish				3				3				
	N-records				17,284				7,476			24,760	
Total Records		62,589	17,282	5,762	17,284	19,262	2,890	8,060	31,822	21,153	11,108	197,212	

**Table 2.** Periods of subsampling during sea trout sea migration in the given year are shown for 1996-2008 for the relevant measuring interval.

	Measuring Periods of Sub-Sampling Shown for Corresponding Measurement Interval													
Year	5 sec	10 sec	30 sec	1 min	5 min	10 min	20 min	30 min						
							May: 24-26; 31 June: 1-2; 7-9; 14-16; 21-23; 28-30							
1996							July : 5-7; 12-14; 19-21; 26-28							
							August: 2-4; 9-11; 16-18; 23-25; 30-31 September: 1							
1997						June: 25-27								
1998								July: 4-28						
1999														
2004	June: 8 & 20	July: 2 & 14												
			June: 20											
2006														
			July: 21											
2007	June: 20		-											
	July: 11													
2008				June: 18-20										
2000		-		July: 1-3										

#### 3. Processing and presentation of data

The results presented are based on DST data in which the whole sea migration was recorded from the home estuary to the sea feeding grounds and back into the home estuary when they entered the river prior to spawning and/or overwintering. The main patterns presented on the migration behaviour are based on uniform sampling throughout the whole sea migration. The results from the subsampling periods are also presented for comparison in relation to the overall depth distribution of the sea trout, to give extra insight into behaviour and to check whether the sampling rates may have influenced various aspects of the results.

The aim of the data analysis was to identify the major patterns in vertical distribution of sea trout. The main task was to compile the data in a way that gave a clear picture on how much time the sea trout spent within any given depth zone. That task was possible to implement comprehensively because of the large number of recordings on the monitored fish and the repeated monitoring in different years.

In addition to the presentation of the main patterns of distribution, detailed data on the variation found within the data sets are also presented. This was carried out in various ways. Firstly, by graphs showing how the individual depth of the sea trout varied with time and habitats, in some instances using the corresponding measurements of temperature and salinity. Secondly, the overall mean fish depth and corresponding confidence limits are presented for all the individuals used in the data processing of sea trout swimming depth. The possible variation of sea trout swimming depth in relation to light levels according to the sun position (day vs. dusk/dawn vs. darkness) is also explored. Following that, I examine, on a weekly basis, whether the swimming depth changes during the sea migration period and to what extent. For this purpose, I use data from 1997. That year, salinity was also measured which gives in parallel the opportunity to view by the same weekly means the variation in salinity as well as temperature experienced by the sea trout throughout the sea migration. Then the difference in salinity and temperature experienced by sea trout in 1997, within the given depth zones is presented. The final step in examining the data was to look at the data collected in 2004 when measurements were taken at 5 seconds interval.

#### 4. Results

One matter to consider when drawing conclusions is the characteristics of the fish within a particular stock. The main information on the monitored sea trout is put

forward in Tables 2 and 3. The length distribution was 32-76 cm at tagging and 47-81 cm at recapture (Table 1). The sea trout age at tagging ranged from 4-10 years. The life history based on scale readings of the tagged fish reflected the typical pattern in sea trout life in the local area. The sea trout spent 2-5 years in the river before their first sea migration. They then migrated every year into the sea and instances of up to six sea migrations were observed. **Table 3.** The sea trout monitored during 1996-2008 listed by their reference number and the year of sea migration. When available the sex of the fish is given and their size and condition factor both at tagging and recapture. Information from scale readings on their life history is also presented: age, maturation history, age at first sea migration (smolt age) and history of earlier sea migrations.

			Length	of Fish	Weight o	Weight of Fish		on Factor		Life history Information							
	Sea At tagging = L1 At tagging = W1 migr Sex At recenture = L2 At recenture = W2		g = W1	At tage	ging = K1		Prior to the	Prior to the recorded sea migration									
Fish	migr.	Sex	At recapt	ure = L2	At recaptu	ire = W2	At recap	At recapture = K2			mation from so	cales)					
											Prior	Smolt	Prior Sea				
	Year		L1	L2	W1	W2	K1	K2	Age	Maturity	Spawning	Age	Migration				
(no.)		Male= 1 Female=2	(cm)	(cm)	(g)	(g)	(K)	(K)	(year)	Immature=0 Mature=1	(number)	(year)	(number)				
1	1996		42.4	47.1	830	1020	1.09	0.98	6	0		5	1				
2	1996		44.9		1060		1.17		5	0		3	2				
3	1996		46.2	50.0	1150	1300	1.17	1.04	5	0		3	2				
4	1996	2	46.8	52.0	1260	1500	1.23	1.07	5	0		3	2				
5	1996	1	47.4	54.0	1020	1704	0.96	1.08	5	0		3	2				
6	1996	2	49.6	54.8	1340	1870	1.10	1.14	5	0		3	2				
7	1996	1	51.7	62.2	1520	2590	1.10	1.08		0							
8	1996	1	53.5	62.6	1570	2550	1.03	1.04	6	1		3	3				
9	1996		55.5		1550	1700	0.91			1	1						
10	1996	1	56.4	60.0	1720	2800	0.96	1.30	6	1	1	3	3				
11	1996	1	56.1	64.0	1940	2750	1.10	1.05	5	1	1	2	3				
12	1996	1	56.3	62.0	1910	2400	1.07	1.01		1							
13	1996	2	60.4	62.9	1950	2753	0.88	1.11	8	1	3	3	5				
14	1996	1	67.5	71.6	3190	3780	1.04	1.03	8	1	1	4	4				
15	1997	1	44.5	48.1	960	1100	1.09	0.99	4	0	0	3	1				
16	1997	2	51.8	56.9	1620	2020	1.17	1.10	5	0	0	3	2				
17	1997	1	53.7	60.0	1820	2110	1.18	0.98	5	0	0	3	2				
18	1997	2	55.6	60.0	1830	2500	1.06	1.16	6	0	0	3	3				
19	1997	1	57.5	62.1	2340	2700	1.23	1.13	7	0	0	5	2				
20	1997	2	54.4	58.0	1390	2100	0.86	1.08	6	1	1	3	3				

21	1997	2	57.3	64.0	1650	3000	0.88	1.14	7	1	1	3	4
22	1997	2	59.0	61.3	1990	2240	0.97	0.97	8	1	2	4	4
23	1997	1	64.5	69.5	2780	3250	1.04	0.97	7	1	1	4	3
24	1997	2	68.8	70.0	2910	4000	0.89	1.17	10	1	3	4	6
25	1998	2	32.0	50.0	340	1550	1.04	1.24	4	0		3	1
26	1998	2	49.6	66.0	1400	3000	1.15	1.04	5	0		3	2
27	1998	1	66.3	69.0	2860	3900	0.98	1.19	7	1	2	3	4
28	1998	2	69.5	74.0	2840	4200	0.85	1.04		1			
29	1998	1	71.9	76.0	4050	5000	1.09	1.14	7	1	2	3	4
30	1999	2	49.0	54.0	1500	1995	1.27	1.27		0			
31	1999	1	53.6	58.0	1600	2200	1.04	1.13	7	0		4	3
32	1999	1	54.4	56.5	1890	2000	1.17	1.11		0			
33	1999	1	57.6	65.0	2140	3050	1.12	1.11	7	1	1	4	3
34	1999	1	60.6	66.0	2210	3000	0.99	1.04		1			
35	1999		62.3	64.7	2600	3520	1.08	1.30		1			
36	1999	1	73.8	78.0	4100	6100	1.02	1.29	7	1	2	3	4
37	2004	1	66.0	70.0	2911	5000	1.01	1.46	6	1	1	3	3
38 <sup>a)</sup>	2006	2	58.8	68.0	2495	3165	1.23	1.01	6	1	1	3	3
39	2006	1	59.0	64.8	2252	2600	1.10	0.96	7	1	1	4	3
20 <sup>a)</sup>	2007	2	a)	69.0	a)	2465			7	1	2	2	4
20 <sup>b</sup>	2007	2	70.7	00.U	2000	3100	0.00	0.00	7	1	2	ა ი	4
39 <sup>r</sup>	2007	T	12.1	6.01	3290	4390	0.80	0.98	1	T	Z	3	4
39 <sup>b)</sup>	2008	1	76.5	77.8	3625	3840	0.81	0.82	8	1	3	3	5
38 <sup>a)</sup>	2008	2	68.0	69.0	2400	3130	0.76	0.95	8	1	3	3	5
40	2008	1	76.2	81.3	4005	4345	0.91	0.81	7	1	2	2	5

<sup>a)</sup> Sea migration of Fish no. 38 was monitored for 3 years: 2006 (not recaptured); 2007 (recaptured); 2008 (tagged again in spring, recaptured) <sup>b)</sup> Sea migration of Fish no. 39 was monitored for 2 years: 2006 (recaptured); 2007 (tagged again in spring, recaptured)

**Table 4.** The sea trout monitored during 1996-2008 listed by their reference number and the year of sea migration together with time of tagging, sea entry, river entry and information on the duration of the sea migration. Recapture time is given and the number of measurements derived from the densest uniform measuring interval covering the sea migration of all fish in the given year.

Fish	Sea Migration	Tagging Time	Sea	a Migration Du	ration		Recapture Time	
Number	Year	Date	Sea Entry	River Entry	Dur	ation	Fish Recaptured /Tag Retrieved	Uniform Measurements throughout Sea Migration
(no.)		(year day.month)	(day.month)	(day.month)	(hrs)	(days)	(year-season)	(no.)
1	1996	1996 5.5	7/8	2/9	632	26	1997-Spring	632
2	1996	1996 20.4	26/5	16/8	1983	83	1996-Summer	1,983
3	1996	1996 5.5	24/6	17/7	545	23	1996-Summer	545
4	1996	1996 20.4	3/6	20/7	1127	47	1996-Autumn	1,127
5	1996	1996 5.5	6/7	2/9	1400	58	1997-Spring	1,400
6	1996	1996 5.5	1/6	17/7	1109	46	1996-Summer	1,109
7	1996	1996 5.5	1/6	21/7	1193	50	1996-Autumn	1,193
8	1996	1996 4.5	4/6	17/8	1787	74	1997-Spring	1,787
9	1996	1996 4.5	24/5	22/7	1421	59	1997-Spring	1,421
10	1996	1996 20.4	22/5	23/7	1483	62	1996-Summer	1,483
11	1996	1996 20.4	31/5	18/7	1148	48	1996-Autumn	1,148
12	1996	1996 4.5	4/6	17/7	1045	44	1996-Autumn	1,045
13	1996	1996 20.4	1/6	12/7	999	42	1996-Summer	999
14	1996	1996 4.5	26/5	1/9	2356	98	1997-Summer	2,356
15	1997	1997 27.4	19/6	9/8	619	52	1998-Spring	619
16	1997	1997 26.4	29/5	19/7	610	51	1997-Autumn	610
17	1997	1997 26.4	31/5	21/7	606	51	1998-Spring	606
18	1997	1997 26.4	10/6	19/7	478	40	1997-Summer	478
19	1997	1997 27.4	10/6	21/7	499	42	1997-Summer	499
20	1997	1997 26.4	31/5	30/7	727	61	1997-Autumn	727

22         1997         1997         26.4         17/5         20/7         772         64         1997-Autumn           23         1997         1997         26.4         17/5         11/8         1034         86         1998-Summer           24         1007         1007         0.14         0.14         0.14         0.027         0.027	772 1,034 656
23         1997         1997         26.4         17/5         11/8         1034         86         1998-Summer           24         1007         1007         20.4         21/7         252         55         1007         20.4	1,034 656
	656
24 1997 1997 26.4 31/5 24/7 656 55 1997-Summer	
25 1998 1998 2.5 6/6 22/8 926 77 2000-Spring	926
26 1998 1998 3.5 5/6 26/7 613 51 2000-Summer	613
27 1998 1998 2.5 24/6 11/8 576 48 1998-Autumn	576
28 1998 1998 2.5 24/6 11/8 575 48 1998-Summer	575
29 1998 1998 3.5 24/6 30/7 433 36 2000-Summer	433
30 1999 1999 1.5 29/5 11/8 3586 75 1999-Summer	35,869
31 1999 1999 1.5 12/6 29/7 2251 47 1999-Summer	2,251
32 1999 1999 1.5 12/6 30/7 2276 47 1999-Summer	2,276
33 1999 1999 1.5 12/6 13/8 2976 62 1999-Summer	2,976
34 1999 1999 1.5 23/5 6/8 3633 76 1999-Summer	3,633
35 1999 1999 1.5 1/6 24/7 2581 54 2000-Spring	2,581
36 1999 1999 2.5 12/6 31/7 2373 49 1999-Summer	2,373
37 2004 2004 24.4 28/5 3/8 19262 67 2004-Autumn	19,262
38 <sup>a</sup> ' 2006 2005 2.10 1/6 1/8 1460 61 2007-Autumn	1,460
39 2006 2005 2.10 5/6 5/8 1465 61 2006-Autumn	1,465
38 <sup>a)</sup> 2007 2005 2.10 30/5 25/7 674 56 2007 Autump	674
$30^{b}$ 2007 2007 2.10 $30/3$ 2.5/7 074 50 2007-Additing	422
59 2007 2007 2.4 5/0 11/7 455 50 2008-WINTER	400
39 <sup>b)</sup> 2008 2008 13.5 1/6 13/7 2013 42 2008-Winter	2.013
38 <sup>a)</sup> 2008 2008 13.5 23/5 29/6 1776 37 2008-Summer	1.776
40 2008 2008 13.5 4/6 20/8 3687 77 2009-Winter	3.687

<sup>a)</sup> Sea migration of Fish no. 38 was monitored for 3 years: 2006 (not recaptured); 2007 (recaptured); 2008 (tagged again in Spring, recaptured) <sup>b)</sup> Sea migration of Fish no. 39 was monitored for 2 years: 2006 (recaptured); 2007 (tagged again in Spring, recaptured)

Based on the life history data determined from scale reading, it was shown that the sea trout first spawned after the second or, more commonly, the third sea migration and then each year following sea migration. Back calculation of length from scales showed that most of the sea trout were 20-30 cm long when starting their first sea migration.

The period in which sea migrations of sea trout took place in 1996-2008 (Figure 3) shows that the main foraging of sea trout takes place in June and July although the first ones are already feeding at sea in May and the last ones are finishing their feeding migration in September. The main sea migration period corresponds closely with the non-darkness period from May 20 to July 23.



**Fig. 3.** The time sea trout spent at sea by research week as a proportion of the overall stay of all sea trout monitored throughout their sea migration with DSTs in each year. The mean proportional stay for each year is also given.

The similar sea migration duration of the same fish monitored for 2 and 3 sea migrations (Table 4) shows how uniform the sea migration of individuals can be between years.

In Figs. 4-7, examples of depth profiles from recordings throughout sea migration of four sea trout are shown, together with data from their migration in fresh water before and following their sea journey. The data demonstrate the pelagic behaviour of the sea trout during their sea migration. The temperature and salinity recordings show that the feeding migrations are shoreline orientated. This is shown by the rather frequent and brief deviations in temperature and salinity. These reflect the movements into low salinity warmer sea water close to the shore where the large inflow of fresh water from glacial rivers creates these conditions.



**Fig. 4.** Migratory pattern of sea trout during sea (feeding) migration and river migration. The depth distribution of the fish and corresponding ambient temperature and salinity in relation to time are shown.



**Fig. 5.** Migratory pattern of sea trout during sea (feeding) migration and river migration. The depth distribution of the fish and corresponding ambient temperature and salinity in relation to time are shown.





**Fig. 6.** Migratory pattern of a sea trout during sea (feeding) migration and river migration. Depth distribution of the fish and corresponding ambient temperature in relation to time are shown in A and the behaviour of the fish is examined in more detail in B.



**Fig. 7.** Migratory pattern of sea trout during sea (feeding) migration and river migration. The depth distribution of the fish and corresponding ambient temperature are shown in relation to time.

The overall mean depths occupied by all the sea trout in any given year were calculated (Table 5). The results show that sea trout mainly stay just below the sea surface. In shallow water, they could of course also be close to the sea bottom at the same time. Some depth profiles from rapid sampling indicate periods of feeding up from bottom but the opposite, feeding down from surface layers, is also observed.

In Figure 8, the mean values for the overall depth of sea trout in sea is shown for every sampling year during the period 1996-2008 with 95% confidence limits. The variation is significant between some of the years although the absolute difference is small. Table 6 (and Fig. 9) show, for each sampling year, the proportion of the overall time spent within given 5m depth intervals, with the means for all fish across all years included in Table 6. Table 7 shows the mean depth within the 5 m depth intervals, given along with standard deviation for the eight sampling years, and again includes the means for all fish across all years.



**Fig. 8.** Mean values for the overall mean fish depth with 95% confidence limits for each of the years sea trout were monitored with data storage tags during sea migration. The numerical values of the means and the corresponding lower and upper bounds of the 95% confidence intervals are shown.

**Table 5.** Overall mean depth of sea trout for every year within the period 1996-2008. The mean standard deviation is shown and the maximum depth recorded. The number of fish behind the recordings in sea each is also given along with the recording interval that covered the total sea migration and the total number of recordings behind the mean depth.

Year	Fish Depth Measurem Se	n (Based on ents throug a Migration	Uniform hout the )	Fish Number Monitored during Sea Migration	Recording Interval Covering the whole Sea Migration and Corresponding Number of Rec.					
	Mean	SD	Max	(no.)	Intervals	(no.)				
1996	2.6	2.73	46.5	14	1 hour	18,228				
1997	<b>7</b> 3.4 2.60 21.5			10	2 hours	6,878				
1998	3.3 2.41 17.0		17.0	5	2 hours	3,123				
1999	3.8	2.59	26.9	7	30 min	19,676				
2004	3.4	2.11	32.8	1	5 min	19,262				
2006	2.5	2.57	45.2	2	1 hour	2,925				
2007	2.5	1.97	13.4	2	2 hours	1,107				
2008	2.6 3.25 38.3		3	30 min	7,476					
	Sea migrati	on monitored	d - Total =	44						

Depth Interval	1996	1997	1998	1999	2004	2006	2007	2008	Overall mean
0-5 m	85.44	78.22	80.37	72.21	81.57	88.58	88.98	87.68	81.49
5.1-10 m	12.54	19.31	17.64	25.37	17.66	9.33	10.57	9.03	16.34
10.1-15 m	1.45	2.22	1.83	2.19	0.56	1.64	0.45	1.67	1.75
15.1-20 m	0.43	0.22	0.16	0.21	0.09	0.31		0.95	0.32
20.1-25 m	0.07	0.03		0.01	0.03	0.10		0.40	0.06
25.1-30 m	0.02			0.01	0.07			0.17	0.02
30.1-35 m	0.02				0.02			0.07	0.01
35.1-40 m								0.03	0.00
40.1-45m	0.02								0.01
45.1-50 m	0.01					0.03			0.01

**Table 6.** Overall proportional time spent within given depth intervals for each of the years

Dwelling within Depth Interval - Proportional (%)

### Table 7.

Mean depth of sea trout within 5 m depth intervals, given along with standard deviation for the 8 sampling years.

	199	96	199	97	199	98	199	99	200	04	200	)6	200	)7	200	8		
Depth Interval	Mean	SD	No fish	Overall mean														
0-5 m	1.7	1.21	2.3	1.26	2.4	1.31	2.5	1.26	2.7	1.05	1.8	1.12	2.0	1.22	1.7	1.05	44	2.1
5.1-10 m	6.8	1.29	6.8	1.32	6.6	1.25	6.7	1.28	6.5	1.11	6.9	1.37	6.6	1.29	6.8	1.30	44	6.8
10.1-15 m	11.7	1.36	11.8	1.26	11.5	1.20	11.6	1.24	11.5	1.25	11.6	1.24	11.3	1.18	12.1	1.39	44	11.7
15.1-20 m	16.8	1.43	16.2	1.45	16.2	0.86	16.0	0.93	17.9	1.44	16.9	1.55			17.2	1.39	32	16.6
20.1-25 m	22.0	1.69	21.3	0.28			23.5		22.5	1.33	22.2	2.04			21.9	1.33	14	22.1
25.1-30 m	26.5	0.70					26.9		27.3	1.55					27.5	1.23	7	26.9
30.1-35 m	34.3	0.70							31.8	1.20					31.9	1.63	4	32.5
35.1-40 m															38.2	0.14	2	38.2
40.1-45 m	41.5	0.98															1	41.5
45.1-50 m	46.5										45.2						2	45.9

Mean Depth of Fish within given Depth Interval (m)





The depth distribution for the sampling years shows that the sea trout spent the majority of their time in the uppermost 5 m while migrating at sea (72-89%). The sea trout used the 5-10 m depth zone for 9-25% of their time with very little time spent in deeper sea layers. Although the sea trout were observed to move down to 47 m (Table 5) such movement into very deep layers was very rare. As given in Table 6, the time spent below 30 m was 0.1% or less for the sampling years. The time spent below 20 m acounted for 0.7% or less of their time at sea.

In Fig. 10, results based on recordings from subsampling are used to present the depth distribution in same way as in Fig. 9. The results shows the same main distribution pattern as presented in Fig. 9 although the subsampled recordings are potentially biased as the sampling was mainly very clustered in time.

Comparison of mean fish depths for a period of high frequency sampling in 2004 did not show significant difference in the mean depth observed between the 5sec-2hours sampling intervals, although the variation increased slightly for the coarser sampling periods (Fig. 11). The results partly reflected the uniformity of the depth the sea trout were utilizing.

The results on the sea trout swimming depth at sea (Figs. 8-10), as well as the results from comparison of mean depth of individuals (Figs. 12-14), results from comparison of light condition on the depth of sea trout (Figs. 15-16) and results from comparison of mean depth throughout the summer (Figs. 17-19) lead to the same conclusion, namely that the great majority of the sea trout are feeding very close to the sea surface and that seasonal and circumstantial environmental differences during their main sea migration period did not change this behaviour. The feeding grounds are mostly close to shore. Although the sea trout were observed to stay close to surface most of the time, this could at times encompass feeding close to sea bottom, if they are in shallow water.



**Fig. 10.** The time that the sea trout spent at average within 5 m depth intervals during their sea migration for given years. The time fish spent within depth range is based on data sampled with denser measurement during the subsampling period during the sea migration. For each year the measuring interval is the same. The time spent within the given depth range is shown as a proportion of the total time spent at sea in the given year.



**Fig. 11**. Mean values of fish depth of fish no. 37 with 95% confidence limits. The mean values are based on 7 different sampling rates in order to compare the effect that sampling rates have on the observed results.



B.

**Fig. 12.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the depth of individual fish (length at tagging given) during their sea migration in 1996 (**A**) and in 1997 (**B**). Maturation status of the fish at tagging before their sea migration is shown for comparison.



В.

**Fig. 13.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the depth of individual fish (length at tagging given) during their sea migration in 1998 (**A**) and in 1999 (**B**). Maturation status of the fish at tagging before their sea migration is shown for comparison.



**Fig. 14.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the depth of individual fish (length at tagging given) during their sea migration in 2004-2008. Maturation status of the fish at tagging before their sea migration is shown for comparison.



Light condition

**Fig. 15.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the depth of sea trout during sea migration in 1996 during the summer period when darkness is not involved.



**Fig. 16.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the depth of sea trout during sea migration in 1996 during the late summer period when hours of darkness are involved.



**Fig. 17.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the overall fish depth recorded by sea trout during sea migration in 1997 in each research week.



**Fig. 18.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the overall temperature experienced by sea trout during sea migration in 1997 in each research week.



**Fig. 19.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the overall salinity experienced by sea trout during sea migration in 1997 in each research week.

The deviation in the overall mean temperature and salinity experienced by the sea trout during 20-26 May 1997 represents a temporary stay in brackish water (Fig. 18 and 19). This could be very close to the shore or in estuarine areas but could also partly be explained by proportion of fish starting their sea migration in this period. The similar deviation during 5-11 August is presumably the result of fish in the estuary finishing their sea migration.

When the overall mean values for temperature and especially salinity within each of the 5 m depth intervals are compared it is apparent that these values for the uppermost 5 m differ significantly from the other depth intervals (Figs. 20 and 21). The low salinity shows that during sampling in 1997 the sea trout were experiencing relatively low salinity during the majority of their sea migration. This again points towards their shoreline orientation when feeding at sea.



**Fig. 20.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the temperature experienced during sea migration of sea trout in 1997 in the 5 m depth intervals.



**Fig. 21.** Mean values with 95% confidence limits of the salinity experienced during sea migration of sea trout in 1997 in the 5 m depth intervals.

#### 5. Other available information on sea trout swimming depth

Four other studies document the swimming depth of sea trout during their migration in sea, and all show results consistent with the present study (Sturlaugsson and Johannsson 1996; Rikardsen *et al* 2007; Hantke *et al* 2011 and Davidsen *et al* 2014).

Studies in coastal waters of Iceland in 1995 showed that during the sea migration sea trout tagged with data storage tags spent vast majority of their time in coastal waters within the uppermost 5 m (Sturlaugsson and Johannsson 1996).

Rikardsen *et al* (2007) tagged eight sea trout with data storage tags in Alta Fjord in northern Norway in 2002. The fish were recaptured 1-40 days later. The sea trout spent more than 50% of their time between 1 and 2 m depth and more than 90% of their time in water no deeper than 3 m from the water surface. However, deeper dives (to a maximum of 28 m) were also recorded. These deep dives were most frequently performed at the end of the sea migration.

Hantke *et al* (2011) give swimming depth information for tagged sea trout in coastal waters in northern Germany. They include in their abstract that "The majority of the tagged sea trouts (64%) migrated at the uppermost water level at about 1.5 m. Common behaviour of sea trouts was occasional deep dives up to 13 m water depth. Two patterns of vertical movements have been observed, rapid dives down from the surface layers or continuous movements in the upper layers."

Davidsen *et al* (2014) give swimming depth information for tagged sea trout in a fjord in Central Norway. They include a summary that "Average swimming depth in the period from April to September (1.87 m) varied significantly among habitats. In littoral (2.11 m) and cliff habitats (2.53 m) the average swimming depth was significantly deeper than in pelagic areas (1.26 m). The average swimming depth was significantly deeper during day (1.98 m) than night (1.28 m). The sea trout had a progressively deeper swimming pattern from April towards late summer, which was positively correlated with water temperature, suggesting that the sea trout actively regulated its internal body temperature."

#### 6. Conclusion

The work presented here, based on the DST data from sea migration of sea trout, makes available in a suitable format for marine renewables risk assessment detailed and useful new information on the swimming depths of sea trout.

#### References

Band, W.T. 2015. Assessing collision risk between tidal turbines and marine wildlife (draft). *Scottish Natural Heritage Guidance Note Series*. Currently online as a closed consultation document on http://www.snh.gov.uk/planning-and-development/renewable-energy/consultations/ prior to been issued in final format.

Davidsen, J.G., Eldøy, S.H., Sjursen, A.D., Rønning, L., Thorstad, E.B., Næsje, T.F., Whoriskey, F., Aarestrup, K., Rikardsen, A.H., Daverdin, M. & Arnekleiv, J.V. 2014. Marine migration and habitat use of sea trout Salmo trutta L. in a fjord in Central Norway. NTNU *Vitenskapsmuseet naturhistorisk rapport* 2014-6: 1-51. In Norwegian with a summary in English. Online at https://www.ntnu.no/documents/10476/401393002/2014-6+Rapport+Hemnfjorden.pdf. Hantke H., Jennerich H.J. and Schulz, N. (2011). Optimierung des Bestandsmanagements für Meerforellen (*Salmo trutta trutta* L.) in den Küstengewässern Mecklen-burg-Vorpommerns durch Ermittlung vertikaler und horizon-taler Wanderwege. *Beiträge zur Fischerei* 45: 1-11. In German with abstract in English. Online at http://www.landwirtschaft-

mv.de/cms2/LFA\_prod/LFA/content\_downloads/Hefte/Heft\_45/Beitrge\_zur\_\_Fischer ei\_Heft\_45.pdf#page=5

Rikardsen, AH, Diserud, OH, Elliott, JM, Dempson, JB, Sturlaugsson, J & Jensen, AJ (2007). The marine temperature and depth preferences of Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus) and sea trout (Salmo trutta), as recorded by data storage tags. *Fisheries Oceanography* 16: 436–447

Sturlaugsson, J. and Johannsson, M. 1996. Migratory Pattern of Wild Sea Trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) in SE-Iceland Recorded by Data Storage Tags. International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. C.M. 1996/M:5. 16 p. Online at http://star-oddi.com/Home/Aquatic-Fisheries-Research/Fish-and-Marine-Animal-Tagging/migratory-pattern-of-wild-sea-trout-in-se-iceland/



© Crown copyright 2016

## OGL

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit **nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3** or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: **psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk**.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.scotland.gov.uk

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at The Scottish Government St Andrew's House Edinburgh EH1 3DG

ISBN: 978-1-78652-185-9 (web only)

Published by The Scottish Government, March 2016

Produced for The Scottish Government by APS Group Scotland, 21 Tennant Street, Edinburgh EH6 5NA PPDAS67980 (03/16)

www.gov.scot